| 1 | BEFORE THE |
|----------|--|
| | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION |
| 2 | |
| 3 | IN THE MATTER OF: |
| 3 | THE DEPARTMENT OF) |
| 4 | TRANSPORTATION OF THE STATE OF) |
| | ILLINOIS, for the PEOPLE OF THE) |
| 5 | STATE OF ILLINOIS,) |
| |) |
| 6 | vs.) No. 02-0349 |
| 7 | AMOCO PIPELINE COMPANY, its) |
| , | successors and/or assignees,) |
| 8 | n/k/a BP PIPELINES) |
| | (North American), INC., and |
| 9 | unknown others,) |
| 1.0 | Dotition for approval of the |
| 10 | Petition for approval of the) taking or damaging of certain) |
| 11 | properties owned by a public) |
| | utility in Cook County,) |
| 12 | Illinois by exercising the) |
| | right of eminent domain.) |
| 13 | Chicago, Illinois |
| 14 | July 3, 2002 Met pursuant to notice at 11:00 a.m. |
| 14 | BEFORE: |
| 15 | JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge. |
| | APPEARANCES: |
| 16 | |
| 1 7 | MR. DOUGLAS G. FELDER |
| 17 | 20 South Clark Street, Suite 2000 Chicago, Illinois 60603 |
| 18 | Appearing for the Petitioner; |
| _ • | |
| 19 | MS. CAROLINE HERMELING |
| | 190 Crondelat, Suite 600 |
| 20 | St. Louis, Missouri 63105 |
| 21 | Appearing for the Respondent. |
| <u> </u> | SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by |
| 22 | Steven T. Stefanik, CSR |

| 1 | | | <u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> | <u>E</u> <u>X</u> | Do | Re- | Dry |
|----|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| 2 | <u>Witnesses:</u> | | Direct | Cross | | | Examiner Examiner |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | F. | Y H T I | 2 T TT C | 3 | | |
| 10 | | | <u>X H I E</u> | | | | |
| 11 | Number | <u>For</u> | Identii | ficatio | <u>on</u> | <u>In</u> | Evidence |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | None | so mar] | - a al | | |
| 15 | | | None s | | kea. | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket 02-0349. This is an application by the
- 4 Department of Transportation of the State of
- 5 Illinois for the People of the State of Illinois
- 6 versus Amoco Pipeline Company, its successors
- 7 and/or assigns (sic), now known as BP Pipelines,
- 8 North America, Inc., and unknown others.
- 9 Mr. Felder, would you enter an
- 10 appearance for the record, please.
- 11 MR. FELDER: Yes. Douglas G. Felder for the
- 12 petitioner, Department of Transportation, 20 South
- 13 Clark Street, Suite 2000, Chicago 60603.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- And, Ms. Hermeling, would you enter an
- 16 appearance and spell your last name for the court
- 17 reporter.
- 18 MS. HERMELING: Sure. Caroline,
- 19 C-a-r-o-l-i-n-e, Hermeling, H-e-r-m-e-l-i-n-q,
- 20 Caroline Hermeling on behalf of BP Products North
- 21 America, Inc. My address is 190 Crondelat
- 22 (phonetic), Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 2 And at this point, you had called me
- 3 just a short time ago and both of you have
- 4 indicated that you want to continue this matter
- 5 now?
- 6 MR. FELDER: Yes, to July 12th, if possible, in
- 7 the morning.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: And what -- can you just bring me
- 9 up-to-date as to what the status is?
- 10 MR. FELDER: Yes. We're right now
- 11 determining -- IDOT and Amoco are determining the
- 12 extent to which we need this acquisition or whether
- 13 the project can be constructed without it or a
- 14 different way that doesn't involve the acquisition
- 15 of this easement.
- 16 MS. HERMELING: On behalf of BP, your Honor,
- 17 our position is that there is an easement which BP
- 18 has with the land owner which we have uncovered and
- 19 we actually just faxed to Doug Felder yesterday,
- 20 and the interest in that easement allows us to
- 21 maintain the pipeline.
- While we are okay from the standpoint of

- 1 the project proceeding, we need to preserve our
- 2 right to maintain that pipeline. And, therefore, a
- 3 relocation agreement with a subordination of our
- 4 interests to their interests to complete this
- 5 project would be acceptable.
- 6 However, an option of extinguishment of
- 7 the easement in exchange for some type of
- 8 administrative permit would not be acceptable, and
- 9 that's the issue that needs to be explored as to
- 10 whether we can get that accomplished.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Now, is July 12 going to be
- 12 enough time?
- MR. FELDER: Well, July 12 would be enough time
- 14 if the answer is we're not going to be required to
- 15 go forward and it looks like there's a 50/50 chance
- 16 that that might be where we're at.
- 17 So that's why I wanted to keep it a
- 18 short date so we can get back and either say it's
- 19 done and we can dismiss it or we need to go forward
- 20 and set a hearing date. Let's go forward from
- 21 there.
- JUDGE RILEY: And, Ms. Hermeling, that's your

- 1 understanding also?
- 2 MS. HERMELING: Yes.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: All right then.
- If Friday's the day then, I can continue
- 5 this to July 12th.
- 6 At 10:00 a.m.?
- 7 MR. FELDER: That's fine with me.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: That all right?
- 9 MS. HERMELING: That's fine with me, your
- 10 Honor.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 12 Then I will continue this matter to
- 13 July 12 at 10:00 a.m. so, and we will determine
- 14 then whether or not this matter -- do you think
- 15 it's going to be one or the other?
- 16 MR. FELDER: Yes.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. You'll know at that time
- 18 whether or not we're going to go forward to hearing
- 19 or this matter's going to be dismissed?
- 20 MR. FELDER: I sure hope so.
- 21 JUDGE RILEY: It's not likely there's going to
- 22 be any middle ground.

- 1 MR. FELDER: No. No, I don't see -- it's going
- 2 to be we're going forward or we're not, and I hope
- 3 that we will know by then.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: All right then.
- 5 MR. FELDER: I mean, it's my belief that's
- 6 enough time. I guess I can't guarantee it.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: All right then.
- I will set this for July 12, 10:00 a.m.
- 9 That will be a status session. I'll have the
- 10 clerk's office send out the notice.
- 11 MR. FELDER: Thank you.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: And we'll see where we are on the
- 13 12th.
- 14 MR. FELDER: Thank you.
- 15 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you very much.
- 16 (Whereupon, said hearing was
- 17 continued to July 12, 2002
- 18 at 10:00 a.m.)
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22